United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,554	11/02/2001	Hong Thi Nguyen	36968-262341	5036
36192 7590 07/11/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD CT 06002			EXAMINER	
			LE, KAREN L	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·	10/003,554	NGUYEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Karen L. Le	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,10-22,24-30 and 32-42 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-8, 10-22, 24-30 and 32-42 is/ar 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. re rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-12, 14-22, 24-30, 32-34, 36-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al. (U. S. 6,590,970) in view of Kamel (U.S. 6,009,150) and further in view of Jagadish et al (U.S. 5,987,108).

Regarding claims 1,10, 24 and 32, Cai teaches a method and for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication, comprising the steps of: receiving a communication from a subscriber on a first network element (fig. 1, item 116), said communication causing said first network element to send a request to a second network element (Fig. 1, item 118), in response to said request, sending a message from said second network element to said first network element, causing said first network element to request entry of demarcation information, wherein said demarcation information comprises a demarcation interval, receiving said demarcation information at said first network element, communicating said demarcation information to said second network element, causing said second network element to store said demarcation

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

information (Col. 3, lines 32-41, Col. 4, lines 8-30 and 50-60) and wherein said subscriber provides said dermarcation information.

Cai does not teach a method for setting a subscriber-specified limit on the duration of a voice channel communication wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information. However, Kamel teaches a method for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information (Col.2, Lines 45-51, Col. 3, lines 1-26) and abstract, lines 4-7). Kamel teaches a method that allows the user to predetermine the desired period of time for free or subsidized calling. The user may request additional promotional messages at any time during the connection in order to extend a free or subsidized connection period provide by the system. Thus, a user may earn time allotment, which closely matches the desired length of the call. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kamel's feature into Cai's system in order to provide flexibility to allow callers to extend their free or subsidized time allotment during the call. It is always advantageous to give an option to earn an extended time allotment for free or subsidized calling by listening to multiple message at any time during a call at the user's request.

Cai does not teach initiating a billing of the subscriber for accepting and enforcing said demarcation information wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information and wherein said demarcation information specifies at least a monetary amount. However, Jagadish teaches initiating a billing of the subscriber for accepting and enforcing said demarcation information wherein said subscriber provides said

Art Unit: 2614

demarcation information and wherein said demarcation information specifies a monetary amount (Abstract lines 13-14, Col. 3, lines 34 to Col. 6, lines 36). Jagadish teaches a customer define a budgetary category of the total cost of calls. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teach of Jagadish into Cai's system in order to have a subscriber initiating a billing of the subscriber for accepting and enforcing said demarcation information and where said demarcation information specifies a monetary amount. A customer defining a budgetary category of the cost of her/his call is old and well known in telecommunication field.

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Cai does not teach the step of storing said demarcation information comprises: comparing said demarcation interval to a default demarcation interval; determining which quantity is a lesser quantity; and storing said lesser quantity as said demarcation interval. However, Jagadish comparing said demarcation interval to a default demarcation interval; determining which quantity is a lesser quantity; and storing said lesser quantity as said demarcation interval (Col. 5, lines 29-45).

Regarding claims 3, 11-12, 17, 25 and 28, Cai further teaches the demarcation information further comprises a time period to which the demarcation interval applies (Col. 4, lines 50-60 and Col. 3, lines 32-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 4, 14, 19-20, 26, 36, 39-40, Cai teaches the demarcation information further comprises identification information for a first station participating in said voice channel communication (Fig. 1, item 102, Col. 1, lines 21-23 and Col 4, lines 19-24).

Regarding claims 5, 15, 27 and 37, Cai teaches said demarcation information further comprises identification information for a second station participating in said voice channel communication (Fig. 1, item 130, Col. 1, lines 21-23, Col. 4, lines 8-9).

Regarding claims 16 and 38, Cai does not teach receiving of said demarcation information comprises receiving said demarcation interval as a monetary quantity.

However Jagadish teaches receiving of said demarcation information comprises receiving said demarcation interval as a monetary quantity (Col. 1, lines 30-32).

Regarding claims 7, 21, 29 and 41, Cai teaches said first network element comprises a service switching point (Fig. 1, item 116).

Regarding claims 8, 22, 30 and 42, Cai teaches said second network element comprises a service control point (Fig. 1, item 118).

Regarding claims 9, 31 and 43, Cai teaches said second network element further comprises a billing element, billing for accepting and enforcing said demarcation information (Col. 6, lines 1-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

Page 6

Regarding claims 33-34, Cai teaches first network element comprises a demarcation signal component and a communication disconnection component (col. 3, lines 39-42).

3. Claims 13 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al. (U. S. 6,590,970) in view of Kamel (U.S. 6,009,150), further in view of Jagadish and further in view of Smith (U.S. 5,559,871)

Regarding claims 13 and 35 Cai does not teach identification information comprises a password, said password causing said second network element to determine that said demarcation interval is infinite. However, Smith teaches identification information comprises a password, said password causing said second network element to determine that said demarcation interval is infinite (col. 5, lines 29-45).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-22, 24-30 and 32-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2614

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon and Thurs 8:30 am-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen le KLL

January 5, 2007

AHMAD F. MATAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Shmad Meta